Construction of Chandanahalli Ane in Kunigal Taluk.

*Q—407. Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA (Channapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have taken steps to construct Chandanahalli Ane in Kunigal Taluk;

(b) whether they have conducted any survey to supply water to the said Chandanahalli Ane either from Hemavathi river or Cauvery river;

(c) whether they have taken necessary action to excavate a high level channel from the Chandanahalli Ane to Huliyurdurga, Haleyur, Deepambudhi and Nidasale Tanks in Kunigal Taluk, Kadaramangala, Bevur, Mandya, Bommanaikanahalli and Honnaikanahalli Tanks in Channapatna Taluk, and from Bevur to Nunnur Iggalur *via* Thavutanahalli, Byadarahalli, Huluvadi, Anigere and Chakkalur;

(d) whether they intend to conduct a survey of the above said works, if not done already and arrange to supply water immediately to the ryots of the said villages on a permanent basis?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) Chandanahalli Ane was constructed long back and there is a channel from this ane on the left bank irrigating about 1,400 acres.

(b) No.

(c) No.

(d) No.

Scheme to generate electricity from small water falls.

*Q—438. Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA (Mangalore).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have considered and prepared any scheme with regard to power generation from the smaller water falls obtaining in the districts of South Kanara, Coorg, Hassan, Chickmagalur, Shimoga and North Kanara;

(b) what is the loss incurred in the transmission of power from the place of generation to places of consumption like, Bangalore, Hubli and Mangalore?

A—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) No.

(b) The transmission loss is at present of the order of 14 per cent but action is being taken to reduce this.

Construction of Bridges on Benni Nala.

*Q—490. Sri R. M. PATIL (Navalgund).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they are aware of the necessity of bridges on Bengal Nala on the Roads (i) between Nargund and Mallapur Railway Station; and (ii) Sirod and Holeyalu?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

Yes.

Conversion of Municipalities as Town Panchayats in Kolar District.

*Q.—504. Sri K. H. VENKATA REDDY (Gauribidanur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the population of (i) Bagepalli, (ii) Gudibanda and (iii) Manchenahalli of Kolar District and the Revenue of the respective Municipalities;

(b) out of the above said Municipalities which are those that are converted as Town Panchayats?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(a)—

	Population	*Revenue for 1958-59*
		Rs.
(i) Bagepalli	2,914	63,049
(ii) Gudibanda	3,645	20,675
(iii) Manchenahalli	3,547	24,560

(*b*) The question of conversion of Manchenahalli Municipality into a Panchayat is under consideration.

Members' Representations.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Gubbi).—Sir, I want to bring to your notice.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before you do that, Sri Mallaradhya has given me notice of a certain matter. You have not given me any notice in advance. So let him say whatever he has to say first.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What is this kind of advertisement in this Assembly ?

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud).—Sir, I cannot understand this kind of insinuation of a member. This is reckless. There is no regard for decency or decorum.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Members are here to do their duty and there is no kind of advertisement whatsoever. If there is any question of advertisement in the case of one member, it must apply to your case also.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—I cannot understand an Hon'ble Member of this House making reckless allegations.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I need not learn anything from Sri Mallaradhya.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have already said that Sri Mallaradhya represented to me certain matters and I have allowed him to make a representation in this respect in the House.

†Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, I invite your attention to rule 306 of the Rules of Procedure. The Chief Minister has returned from Delhi. We hear from papers that some discussion took place about the border issue between our Chief Minister, the Chief Minister of Bombay and the Union Home Minister. I would like to know if the Chief Minister would be pleased to make a statement in connection with his visit. I am mentioning this because there are one or two matters which appeared in the papers which alarmed the people here. I do not wish to mention them here. We shall be happy if the Chief Minister is pleased to make a statement in this respect.

†Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—Sir, it is a fact that I had been to Delhi for attending the meeting of the National Development Council. Taking this as an opportunity the Union Home Minister wanted to discuss with me and the Chief Minister of Bombay the border question between Bombay and Mysore States. I met the Union Home Minister Sri Pant on the 19th and I discussed with him for one hour. I explained the situation regarding the border of Mysore State. I also explained under what circumstances the question of the border has been considered and how ultimately a report was submitted by the Fazl Ali Commission and a Bill was introduced and it became an Act. I also explained the legal implications of it to the Home Minister. I also brought to his notice the resolution which was passed in this House and also in the Upper House. Similarly I brought to the notice of the Home Minister the views of the Congress Legislature Party and also the decision taken by the other political parties including the Congress political party. Similarly, I brought to the notice of the Home Minister the recent public meeting held at Belgaum and the decision taken by the public of Belgaum District.

The next day I was invited along with the Chief Minister of Bombay and we had a discussion for one hour. I placed my standpoint which was decided here in the form of a Resolution and also in the Upper House, to which the Chief Minister of Bombay did not agree. I also did not agree to the proposal made by the Chief Minister of the Bombay State. So the stand taken by Mysore State so far has been again reiterated before the Home Minister and I have not changed my stand.

Yesterday, on the 21st, again I went to him because he wanted to explain certain things to me. Then also I expressed the firm stand taken by the Government of Mysore supported